

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,387	09/16/2003	Koichi Katsuya	041465-5200	2174
55694 7590 09/27/2007 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC) 1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100			EXAMINER	
			SERROU, ABDELALI	
	N, DC 20005-1209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/662,387	KATSUYA, KOICHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Abdelali Serrou	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status '					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims	A parte quejo, 1000 c.b. 11, 10				
·					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-9.11 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-9.11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecd drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to the office action mailed on 02/27/07, applicant filed an amendment on 07/13/07, amending claims 1, 5, and 9, and canceling claims 2, 6, and 10. The pending claims are 1, 3-4, 6-9, and 11-12.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,387

Art Unit: 2626

Claims 1,4-5, 8-9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang (U.S 7,069,208 filed on Jan. 24, 2001, and issued on Jun. 27, 2006).

As per claims 1, 5, and 9, Wang teaches an error detector configured to determine whether or not a descriptor included in a coded audio data composed of the digital audio data is consistent with a descriptor to be used for specifications of a specific broadcasting service, to detect whether or not there occurs an error in the coded audio data a when the descriptor in the coded audio data is consistent with that for the specifications, and not to detect so when it is inconsistent (inherently disclosed in the error detection process of col. 3, lines 49-58, wherein an occurred error is detected by the CRC checker); and

a decoder configured to decode the coded audio data (col. 3, lines 55-58), the decoding including application of a window function to the coded audio data and mutual addition of results coming from the application of the window function to different coded audio data (col. 6, lines 20-29), the coded audio data to be decoded being error-free coded audio data inputted immediately before the occurrence of the error when the error detector detects that there occurs the error in the coded data (col. 4, lines 27-31, wherein the original coded data is error-free).

As per claims 4, 8, and 12, Wang teaches wherein the decoder is configured to decode the coded audio data providing a decoded result of zero when the error detector detects that there occurs the error in the coded data (col. 3, lines 62-63 wherein missing audio frames represent a result of zero).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Garudadri et al. (Garudadri, U.S 7,089,178 filed on Apr. 30, 2002 and issued on Aug. 8, 2006).

Wang teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 5, and 9, upon which claims 3, 7, and 11 depend.

Wang does not explicitly teach wherein the error detector is configured to determine whether or not there occurs an error in the coded audio data with the use of a data length descriptor included in the coded audio data.

Garudadri in the same field of endeavor teaches wherein the error detector is configured to determine whether or not there occurs an error in the coded audio data with the use of a data length descriptor included in the coded audio data (col. 18, lines 14-19, wherein the error detector divides the new CRC based on the frame length).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the length descriptor of Garudadri with the error concealment system of Wang, because Garudadri teaches that this would improve error concealment systems by pointing out the exact position of the error for correction (col. 18, lines 14-30).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdelali Serrou whose telephone number is 571-272-7638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,387

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Serrou 9/17/07

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 6